# Legal Aspects of Euthanasia and Right to Die with Dignity: A Comparative Study

A THESIS SUBMITTED AS PARTIAL FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSPHY** 

IN

LAW

To



By

Kasturi Sharma
Department of Law
Royal School of Law and Administration
Registration No: 1181180

May 2023

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the content embodied in the PhD thesis entitled "Legal Aspects

of Euthanasia and Right to Die with Dignity: A Comparative Study" is the result

of research work carried out by me in the Royal School of Law and Administration,

The Assam Royal Global University, Guwahati, India, under the supervision of Prof.

(Dr.) Hiren Ch. Nath.

In keeping with the general practice of reporting research observations, due

acknowledgments have been made wherever the work described is based on the

findings of other researchers.

Further, I declare that this thesis as a whole or any part thereof has not been submitted

to any university (or institute) for the award of any degree/ diploma.

KASTURI SHARMA

Kastin Shain

Registration No. 1181180

Roll No. 187123003

Date: 03/07/24
Place: Gunhali

### CERTIFICATE FROM THE SUPERVISORS

This is to certify that the work presented in the thesis entitled Legal Aspect of Euthanasia and Right to Die with Dignity: A Comparative Study by Kasturi Sharma, submitted to the Assam Royal Global University for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Law, is a record of the results obtained from the research work carried under our supervision.

This thesis conforms to the template and stipulated guidelines of the Assam Royal Global University, including the standard related to plagiarism, and has a plagiarism index of 3 %, excluding the bibliography.

Signature of the Supervisor

(With Date and Seal)

Signature of the Co-Supervisor

(With Date and Seal)

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

A Ph.D. is not merely a degree; it is also a difficult and enlightening journey. Without the assistance of many hands, hearts, and minds, this difficult journey would not be possible. Without honoring them, this journey will be lacking and pointless.

Many people have contributed significantly to helping me finish this thesis in a variety of ways.

Foremost, Words are inadequate to express my deepest gratitude to my guide Prof. (Dr.) Hiren Ch. Nath, who not only guided me in this process, but also trusted me, and inspires me in my life beyond this research work. He persistently motivated me to grow by giving me opportunities and his valuable inputs. I will always be indebted for his guidance.

My sincere words of thanks to Dr. Nalini K. Dutta Sir, my Co-guide, who always provided me with valuable guidance and feedback. My acknowledgment will be incomplete without thanking him for always being approachable and offering a helping hand.

I am grateful towards our VC sir Prof. (Dr.) S.P. Singh who have always been supportive. I thank you all the opportunities and encouragements sir gave me for my career advancements and achievements.

I will always be indebted with the love and support that my family has provided me. They deserve all the credit to whatever little things I have achieved my life. I would like to extend my gratitude to my parents, my in-laws, and my sister and

sister in-laws. You all are source of my energy and making you all feel proud is the

only thing I aim for in my life.

Special thanks to my Husband Dr. Debashish Kaushik for understanding me

and for always being beside me. Without your love, support and care this journey

would have become a difficult one for me. Above all, I am thankful to my little

daughter, she has been a blessing from the start. I am so blessed to have you as my

daughter.

Lastly, I would like to dedicate my PhD to all those people who are struggling

to "Die with Dignity."

Kastun' Shame KASTURI SHARMA

Registration No. 1181180

Roll No. 187123003

Date: 03/07/24
Place: Yuwahati

#### **Document Information**

Analyzed document Kasturi Sharma PhD Law Thesis without footnotes.pdf (D164862109)

Submitted 2023-04-24 09:27:00 UTC+02:00

Submitted by Royal Global University

Submitter email centrallibrary@rgu.ac

Similarity 3%

Analysis address centrallibrary.rgu@analysis.urkund.com

### Sources included in the report

W	URL: https://www.legalauthority.in/judgement/common-cause-a-regd-society-vs-union-of-india-441 Fetched: 2021-11-07 13:01:30	DD	83
J	<b>14_chapter7.pdf</b> URL: 838a7201-49a8-4b7c-8b52-4b6007895324 Fetched: 2022-08-20 00:59:42	88	14
w	URL: http://bharatilawreview.com/uploads/153-167_Rai_F.pdf Fetched: 2022-03-10 08:58:07		10
w	URL: https://www.advocatekhoj.com/library/judgments/announcement.php?WID=9845 Fetched: 2021-11-10 08:04:01	88	7

#### **Entire Document**

## **Abbreviations**

ACTSC Australian Capital Territory Supreme Court

AD Advance Directive

AIR All India Reporter

BARDD Belgian Association for the Right to Die with Dignity

Bom LR Bombay Law Reporter

Calif. L. Rev California Law Review

CC Common Cause

CrLJ Criminal Law Journal

CUP Cambridge University Press

DNR Do -Not- Resuscitate

DPP Director of Public Prosecution

DWDA Death with Dignity Act

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights

Ed Edition
Eds Editors

EWCA England and Wales Court of Appeal

EWHC England and Wales High Court

FCR Family Court Reports

HC High Court

HL House of Lords

ICESR International Covenant on Economic, Social and

**Cultural Rights** 

IPC Indian Penal Code

ISCCM Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine

JMFC Judicial Magistrate First Class

Kar Karnataka

MAID Medical Aid in Dying

MH Mental Healthcare

NEJM The New England Journal of Medicine

NHS National Health Service

NJ New Jersey

NSWSC New South Wales Supreme Court

NT News Northern Territory News

NTLR Northern Territory Law Reports (Australia)

NY New York

NZLR New Zealand Law Reports

ORS Others

OUP Oxford University Press

PC Palliative Care

PIL Public Interest Litigation

PVS Persistent Vegetative State

QB Queens Bench

RDMA Royal Dutch Medical Association

SC Supreme Court

SCC Supreme Court Cases

SCI Supreme Court of India

SCR Supreme Court Reporter

UDHR Universal Declaration of Human Rights

UK United Kingdom

UKSC United Kingdom Supreme Court

UN United Nations

US /USA United States / United States of America

VAD Voluntary Assisted Dying

WASC Western Australia Supreme Court

WHO World Health Organization

WLR Weekly Law Reports

## **List of Cases**

- 1. Airedale NHS Trust v. Anthony Bland [1993] 1 ALL ER 821
- 2. Aruna Ramachandra Shanbaug v. Union of India (2011) 4 SCC 454
- Auckland Area Health Board v. Attorney General (1993) 1 NZLR 235
   (HC)
- 4. Australian Capital Territory v. JT (2009) ACTSC 105
- 5. B v. Children's Aid Society of Metropolitan Toronto [1995] 1 S.C.R. 315
- 6. Bandhua Mukti Morcha v. Union of India AIR 1984 SC 802
- 7. Baxter v. Montan, MT DA 09-0051 2009 MT 449 (MT Supr. Ct. 2009).
- 8. Bolam v. Friern Hospital Management Committee [1957] 1 WLR 582
- 9. Brightware Care Group (Inc.) v. Rossiter (2009) WASC 229: 40 WAR 84
- 10. Cater v. Canada (Attorney General)2015 SCC 5
- 11. Chandrakant Narayanrao Tandale v. the State of Maharashtra, writ petition no. 5613 of 2020.
- 12. Cheena Jagadeeswar v. State of Andhra Pradesh (1988) CriLJ 549
- 13. Christine Goodwin v. the United Kingdom (2002) ECHR 588
- 14. Christopher John Wake and Djiniyini Gondarra v. Northern Territory and Keith John Austin Asche, AC QC the Administrator of the Northern Territory of Australia No. 112 of 1996
- 15. Common Cause (A Regdistered Society) v. Union of India, (2018) 5 SCC
- Compassion in Dying v. State of Washington case, 850 F. Supp. 1454
   (W.D. Wash. 1994)
- 17. Cruzan v. Director, MDH, 497 U.S. 261 (1990)

- 18. Dr. Jack Kevorkian's,497 U.S. at 345
- 19. Gian Kaur v. State of Punjab 1996(2) SCC 648
- 20. Gonzales v. Oregon, 546 U.S. 243 (2006)
- 21. Gross v. Switzerland, BGE 133 I 58
- Gross v. Switzerland, Application no. 67810/10,30 September 2014
   (Grand Chamber judgment)
- 23. H B Karibasamma v. Union of India 2012 SCC Online Kar 9051: (2013)2 Kant LJ263
- 24. Haas v. Switzerland, Application no. 31322/07, 20 January 2011 (Chamber judgment).
- 25. Hunter and New England Area Health Service v. A, (1970) 1 SCC 248.
- 26. K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) and Anr. v. Union of India and others, (2017)10 SCC 1
- 27. Kharak Singh v. The State of Uttar Pradesh & others, AIR 1963 SC 1295
- 28. Koch v. Germany, [2012] ECHR 1621
- 29. Lee v. State of Oregon, 891 F. Supp. 1439 (D. Or. 1995)
- 30. M. Nagaraj and others v. union of India and others, AIR 2007 SC 71
- 31. Malette v. Shulman, (Ont. C.A.), 1990 CanLII 6868 (ON CA)
- 32. Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India, 1978 AIR 597,1978 SCR (2) 621
- 33. Maruti Shripati Dubal v. State of Maharashtra (1986) 88 Bom LR 589
- 34. Mc Kay v. Bergstedt, 801 P.2d 617 (1990)
- 35. Messiha v. South East Health, (2004) NSWSC 1061
- Montgomery v. Lanarkshire Health Board, [2015] 1 AC 1430 (United Kingdom)
- 37. Ms. B v An NHS Hospital Trust 2002 EWHC 429

- 38. Nancy B v. Hotel-Dieu de Quebec (1992) 86 DLR (4th) 38
- 39. Naresh Marotrao Sakhre v. Union of India, 1995 Cr. LJ 96 Bom.
- National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India and others, (2014)5
   SCC 438
- 41. Nicklinson and Lamb v. the United Kingdom, (Applications nos. 2478/15 and 1787/15)
- 42. Oregon v. Ashcroft, 192 F. Supp. 2d 1077 (D. Or. 2002)
- 43. P. Rathinam Nagabhushan Patnaik v. Union of India and another (1994) 3 SCC 394
- 44. Pretty v. United Kingdom, ECHR, Judgment of 29 April 2002, application no. 2346/02
- 45. R (Purdy) v. Director of Public Prosecutions [2009] UKHL 45
- R v. Adams, (1996) EWCA Crim, 22, (1996) EWCA Crim 2474, (1957)
   Criminal Law Review 365, p.375.
- 47. R v. Cox (1992)12 B.M.L.R. 38.
- 48. R v. Latimer, [2001] 1 S.C.R. 3, 2001 SCC 1
- 49. R. (Pretty) v. Director of Public Prosecutions, (2002) 2 All ER 1
- 50. R. v. Arthur, (1993) B.M.L.R.I
- 51. Re F. (Mental Patient: Sterilization), (1989) 2 All ER 545(HL) (United Kingdom)
- 52. Rodriguez v. British Columbia, (Attorney General), [1993] 3 S.C.R. 519
- 53. Rustom Cavasjee Cooper v. Union of India, (1970) 1 SCC 248.
- 54. S. v. Makwanyane, 1995(3) SA 391
- 55. Sawatzky v. Riverview Health Centre Inc., (1998) 133 Man. R. (2d) 41 (QB)

- 56. Schloendorff v. Society of New York Hospital, 105 N.E. 92 (N.Y. 1914)
- 57. St. George's Healthcare NHS Trust v. S Case, (1998)3 All ER 673,
- 58. State v. Sanjay Kumar Bhatia, 1985 Cr. L. J. 931.
- 59. Sunil Batra v. Delhi Administration, AIR 1986 SC 1579
- 60. The Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973)
- 61. Vacco v Quill 521 US 793 (1997)
- 62. Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan AIR 1997 SC 3011
- 63. Washington et.al., v. Glucksberg et.al., (1997) 521 US 702
- 64. Withler v. Canada, 2011 SCC 12